





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,718	07/05/2001	Stefanie Sprunck	514413-3886	4044	
20999	7590 04/18/2003				
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER			
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		SULLIVAN,	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	13	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: all approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						S/M_		
Examiner Examiner			Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Daniel M Sullivan - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply with the statutory informent of things (30) stays with the correspondence and the cover sheet with the considered breely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply with the statutory informent of stings (30) stays with the considered breely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply well and state (30) stays with the considered breely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply well and state (30) stays with the considered breely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply well and state (30) stays with the considered breely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply to simely filled. If the period for reply specified above, the maining date of this communication, even if simely filled. The specified and communication. Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2003 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are repected. 7) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are p	. Office Action Summary		09/899	9,718	SPRUNCK ET AL			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Rophy A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estemators of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1-13(b). In one own't reverse, may a raphy be timely find after SIX (S) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15 (S) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. 15			Exami	iner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lacrination of time may be available under the provisions of the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of the provisions of time that the provisions of time that the provisions of time that the provisions of the provisions of time provisions of the provision of the provisi								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.15(6). In or event, however, may a reply be timely field after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the preciot or entry specified stops is less then lifting (9) depth, and provided the provision of the provisio	Period fo		cation appears on	the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ad	dress		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3	THE - External after - If the results of the result	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION consions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. D) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	to event, however, may a restatutory minimum of third nd will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this or JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 <i>March</i> 2</u>	<u> 2003</u> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actioi	n is non-final.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ŕ	closed in accordance with the pract				e merits is		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	application.					
6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from	consideration.				
7 Claim(s) 18 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)🖂							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicat	tion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	,—							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	o) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		, , ,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	11)				lisapproved by the Examin	er.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)		.,						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,		by the Examiner.	•				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a))⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have l	been received.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have l	been received in A	pplication No			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* ;	application from the Intern	ational Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		,						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachme	nt(s)			4			
	2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		5) Notice of				

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This Non-Final Office Action is a Response to the "Amendment and Response to Office Action" filed 26 March 2003 (Paper No. 12) in reply to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 28 August 2002 (Paper No. 10). Claims 1-16 were considered in Paper No. 10. Claims 1-16 were amended and claims 17 and 18 were added in Paper No. 12. Claims 1-18 are pending and under consideration.

Priority

Receipt of a certified copy of German application 10032379.0 is acknowledged. All certified copies of the priority documents have now been received.

Response to Amendment

Claim Objections

Objection to claims 6, 11 and 12 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Rejection of claims 1-3, 13 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-16 stand rejected, and claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking adequate written description for the claimed subject matter for reasons of record in Paper No. 10 and herein below in the "Response to Arguments".

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 14 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for reasons of record in Paper No. 10 and herein below in the "Response to Arguments".

Rejection of claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Rejection of claims 1-12 and 15 as anticipated by Visser et al. (1991) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17:691-699 and claims 1-8 and 11 as anticipated by Steege et al. (1992) *Plant Mol. Biol.* 20: 19-30 is withdrawn in view of the amendments and arguments of record in Paper No. 12.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, possession

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking adequate written description for the broad class of *any* and *all* DNAs with caryopsis-specific promoter activity and having the indicated similarity to the disclosed sequence.

In response to the rejection, Applicant has amended claim 1 such that part d) is limited to sequences that hybridize under stringent conditions, which are set forth in the specification as conditions that are considered in the art to constitute high stringency. Therefore, the sequences encompassed by part d) of claim 1 are adequately described.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/899,718

Art Unit: 1636

However, the amended claim 1, part e), still encompasses nucleic acid sequences having as little as 75% identity with the disclosed nucleic acid sequence. Applicant submits that the DNA of the invention is structurally and functionally defined. However, the Guidelines for Written Description state "when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus", "In an unpredictable art, adequate written description of a genus which embraces widely variant species cannot be achieved by disclosing only one species within the genus" (Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, Column 2, page 71436). Although applicant has limited the structures encompassed by the claimed genus, a genus of nucleic acids having 75% identity embraces widely variant species which cannot be described by disclosing only one species within the genus, as in the instant case. The written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species, by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant identifying characteristics (see MPEP 2163 (ii)). However, the instant disclosure does not set forth the relevant identifying characteristics of a nucleic acid sequence having the function of a caryopsis-specific promoter such that the skilled artisan would recognize that Applicant was in possession of all nucleic acids having that function and at least 75% identity with the sequence defined by SEO ID NO:1.

For these reasons and reasons of record in Paper No. 10, new claim 17, also lacks adequate written description for the claimed genus of nucleic acid molecules.

Art Unit: 1636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, enablement

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for a method of suppressing any gene in the caryopsis of a plant. In response to the rejection, Applicant argues that the disclosure demonstrates that the promoter of the invention can provide tissue-specific expression and can be used to express nucleic acids that are functionally linked to the promoter sequence. Applicant argues that the promoter can be used to express an antisense-RNA or ribozyme. Applicant argues that, because the promoter is specifically active in the caryopsis of plants, it is clear that it can be used for caryopsis-specific expression of ribozymes or anti-sense RNA. Applicant further argues that preparation and design of anti-sense sequences and ribozymes are standard methods routinely used by the skilled artisan and cites two examples (i.e., Tada et al. and Zhang et al.) wherein the expression of individual genes was suppressed via antisense technology. Applicant cites *In re wands*, 8 USPQ 2D 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988) emphasizing the court's statement that "a considerable amount of experimentation is permissible, if it is routine". Applicant argues that the prior art is replete with examples of anti-sense RNA and ribozyme inhibition, and the relative level of skill in the art is high. Applicant states, "as with any promoter, the use of the promoter of the present invention in anti-sense RNA or ribozyme inhibition involves a certain amount of experimentation. However, there is no indication that more than routine experimentation is required to optimize the ribozyme or antisense sequence to be fused to the promoter to obtain suppression of the target gene in the caryopsis" (page 8).

These arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive because they fail to account for the scope of the claimed subject matter. The claims are directed to a method of

Art Unit: 1636

caryopsis-specific suppression of any gene. Thus, the enabling disclosure must provide adequate guidance such that the skilled artisan could suppress expression of any and all genes in the caryopsis without engaging in undue experimentation. According to the court in *In re Wands*, "[t]he determination of what constitutes undue experimentation in a given case requires the application of standard reasonableness, having due regard for the nature of the invention and the state of the art" (at 1404). The instant disclosure provides a method of expressing an anti-sense or ribozyme construct in the caryopsis. The art provides some anti-sense and ribozyme molecules that are effective inhibitors. However, the art cited in the previous office action teaches that for any given target molecule, an effective antisense molecule must be identified by trial and error experimentation. Therefore, to practice the claimed invention commensurate with its full scope. the skilled artisan would have to engage in trial and error experimentation to identify an effective inhibitory antisense or ribozyme molecule for each and every gene expressed in the caryopsis. Clearly this degree of experimentation, even if it could be accomplished using techniques that are routine in the art, would be considered undue according to the application of standard

New Grounds

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

reasonableness. Therefore, the skilled artisan could not practice the claimed invention

commensurate with its full scope without engaging in undue experimentation.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 6

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/899,718

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 2, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite in limiting the isolated nucleic acid molecule of claim 1 to a promoter that is active in plants. As the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1 is already limited to a promoter that is active in the caryopsis, it is unclear how claim 2 further limits the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1.

Claim 13 is indefinite because it fails to set forth a terminal process step that clearly relates back to the preamble. Amending the claim to read, for example, "...wherein the nucleic acid molecule drives expression of genes under the control of the nucleic acid molecule <u>in</u> <u>caryopsis</u>" would be remedial.

Claim 14 is indefinite as it is now amended to read, "wherein the nucleic acid molecule suppressed expression of genes under the control of the nucleic acid molecule". Because the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1 is a promoter, the claim reads as though the promoter suppresses expression of the genes to which it is operably linked. Based on the specification, which describes antisense and ribozyme molecules it would seem that applicant intends to claim a method wherein the nucleic acid molecule under the control of the promoter suppresses expression of endogenous genes. Amending the claim accordingly would be remedial.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 703-305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9105 for regular communications and 703-746-9105 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

dms April 10, 2003

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER